

<b>Initial equality impact assessment screening form</b>			
<b>This form records an equality screening process to determine the relevance of equality to a proposal, and a decision whether or not a full EIA would be appropriate or proportionate.</b>			
<b>Directorate</b>	Business and Environmental Services		
<b>Service area</b>	Transport Planning		
<b>Proposal being screened</b>	Transport Works Acts Order (TWAO) known as The Network Rail (Church Fenton Level Crossing Reduction) Order		
<b>Officer(s) carrying out screening</b>	<b>Graham North</b>		
<b>What are you proposing to do?</b>	Providing a NYCC response as a statutory consultee to the draft TWAO within 42 calendar days or by 8 <sup>th</sup> September 2022.		
<b>Why are you proposing this? What are the desired outcomes?</b>	In response to the TWAO NYCC will ensure that Equalities are included.		
<b>Does the proposal involve a significant commitment or removal of resources?</b> Please give details.	<b>No</b>		
<p><b>Impact on people with any of the following protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010, or NYCC's additional agreed characteristics</b></p> <p>As part of this assessment, please consider the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To what extent is this service used by particular groups of people with protected characteristics?</li> <li>Does the proposal relate to functions that previous consultation has identified as important?</li> <li>Do different groups have different needs or experiences in the area the proposal relates to?</li> </ul> <p><b>If for any characteristic it is considered that there is likely to be an adverse impact or you have ticked 'Don't know/no info available', then a full EIA should be carried out where this is proportionate. You are advised to speak to your <a href="#">Equality rep</a> for advice if you are in any doubt.</b></p>			
Protected characteristic	Potential for adverse impact		Don't know/No info available
	No	Yes	
Age	X		
Disability	X		
Sex	X		
Race	X		
Sexual orientation	X		
Gender reassignment	X		
Religion or belief	X		
Pregnancy or maternity	X		
Marriage or civil partnership	X		
<b>NYCC additional characteristics</b>			
People in rural areas	X		
People on a low income	X		
Carer (unpaid family or friend)	X		
<b>Does the proposal relate to an area where there are known</b>	<b>No</b>		

<b>inequalities/probable impacts</b> (e.g. disabled people's access to public transport)? Please give details.				
<b>Will the proposal have a significant effect on how other organisations operate?</b> (e.g. partners, funding criteria, etc.). <b>Do any of these organisations support people with protected characteristics?</b> Please explain why you have reached this conclusion.	<b>No</b>			
<b>Decision (Please tick one option)</b>	EIA not relevant or proportionate:	X	Continue to full EIA:	
<b>Reason for decision</b>	<p>This report is a comment on a proposal (see below) by Network Rail and as such has no direct impact on people with protected characteristics. Discussions with Network Rail have shown that they have considered any equalities issues and have acted accordingly.</p> <p>An existing level crossing and footbridge being replaced by a new footbridge and new road and road bridge including footpath, though a longer route round should make it easier and safer for all to access the houses on Rose Lane and for landowners (agricultural) to access their land and close two User Worked Crossings. The work will enable the railway to be electrified, improving journey times and reliability of the railway encouraging modal shift to rail, whilst making it safer for all users.</p>			
<b>Signed (Assistant Director or equivalent)</b>	Karl Battersby			
<b>Date</b>	12 August 2022			