

TREE AMENITY EVALUATION METHOD FOR TREE PRESERVATION ORDERS

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date of Survey: 14/08/2023	Surveyor: Alan Gilleard
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------

Tree Details
TPO Ref:
Individuals, Woodland, Group, or Area *Check box:* Individuals Woodland Group Area
Tree Species: (state Mixed if it applies to a mixed species woodland): T1 Horse chestnut and T3 oak
Location/Address: Chestnut Cottage Back Lane Wombledon Kirkbymoorside YO62 7RL

Part 1: Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & Suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Notes for definitions

- | | |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Medium | Suitable |
| 1) Fair | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Poor | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes: 3 Healthy trees of typical form.

b) Remaining Longevity (in years) & Suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Notes

- | | |
|------------------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10 | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes: 4 Life expectancy between these ranges.

c) Relative Public Visibility & Suitability for TPO:

Consider *current* visibility and *future* visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Notes

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 6) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features | Highly suitable |
| 5) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 4) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 3) Trees that may currently be small or of low visibility but may currently, or as mature trees, be deemed important, i.e. screening of buildings or wasteland etc. | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty, and do not meet the criteria of (3) | Probably unsuitable |
| 1) Young, small or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes: 4 Medium sized trees viewed as part of the village and wider landscape. Trees viewed from the road fronting the site and considered to add to the visual amenity of the area. Important trees and high performing for carbon sequestration and ecologically.

At this point, trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to proceed to (d) * Refer to paragraph 3.18 within the Guidance Notes with regards to addition Planning considerations

d) Other Factors

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their collective value
- 3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes: 1

Part 2: Tree Threat Assessment

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 1) Perceived threat to tree
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance (*Where tree value is significantly less than the value of cost for repair*)

Score & Notes: 5 Immediate threat from inappropriate pruning and premature felling.

Part 3: Decision Guide

Add Scores for Total: 17	
Any 0	Do not apply TPO
1-6	TPO inappropriate
7-10	Possibly merits TPO
11-13	Does merit TPO
14+	Definitely merits TPO

Arboricultural Recommendation: Check box	
MAKE TPO:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DO NOT MAKE TPO:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Additional Planning Considerations relevant to Part (d) Other Factors: