

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
<b>Local Engagement</b>																				
North Yorkshire Community Safety Partnership (NYCSP)	LE	1	To bring together the responsible authorities, supported by other relevant organisations, to fulfil their statutory responsibilities to work together under the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (as amended).	Annual review of priorities	2024-2028 strategy developed and agreed with identified priorities. Multi-agency performance framework being developed. Able to respond and adapt to a changing landscape and new statutory duties. Chairing arrangements agreed with NYP	2024-2028 strategy developed and agreed with identified priorities. 1. Hubs 2. Serious violence 3. Domestic abuse 4. Hate crime and extremism. Priorities include statutory duties which led by separate significant partnerships. Commission Domestic Abuse Related Death Reviews when the criteria are met.	No	Senior officers of key local community safety partners (responsible authorities) and other relevant organisations. NYC provides the secretariat to the partnership.  <a href="https://www.nypartnerships.org.uk/nycsp">https://www.nypartnerships.org.uk/nycsp</a>	No budget; NYC provides officer for secretariat and a dedicated partnership manager. Funding is received from the Office of Police, Fire and Crime Commissioning for Domestic Abuse Related Death Reviews, in the region of £15k per review	n/a	No budget.	Corporate & Partnerships Overview and Scrutiny Committee in its role as statutory crime and disorder committee. 6 monthly basis	Odette Robson	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A
York and North Yorkshire Prevent Partnership Board	LE	1	To provide leadership across the Prevent (counter-terrorism) agenda.	Annual review of action plan, annual counter terrorism local profile. Home Office assess local arrangements on an annual basis	Continued implementation of Prevent duty across all partners. Channel Panel has continued to meet & support those vulnerable to extremism/quality assurance exercise completed & shared with HO. Assurance statement signed off NYC CE. Ongoing implementation of Prevent Action Plan	National scrutiny and changes to Prevent and Channel anticipated, following high profile Prevent Learning Reviews.	No	Statutory partners, chaired by Assistant Chief Executive, NYC. Secretariat provide by NYC. Reports directly to North Yorkshire Community Safety Partnership	No budget; NYC provides officer for secretariat	n/a	No budget.	Update provided in the CSP 6 monthly update to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Odette Robson	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation					Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result
														L	L	L	L	L		
York and North Yorkshire Serious Violence Working Group	LE	1	To bring the specified authorities together to ensure effective delivery of the implementation plan of the Serious Violence duty. Including the commissioning of strategic needs assessments	Statutory duty introduced 2023	Development of a multi-agency response strategy based on the findings of 2 locally commissioned strategic needs assessments	4 key priority areas identified. 1. Raising awareness and public perception of serious violence 2. Children and young people 3. Possession of weapons 4. Night time economy	No	In line with the duty membership is made of senior responsible officers from across agencies, Chaired by North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue service. Reports directly to North Yorkshire Community Safety Partnership. Government amended the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 to ensure that serious violence is an explicit priority for Community Safety Partnerships and that a local strategy is in place to tackle serious violence	No budget specific to the partnership. Home Office intervention funding has been used to commission local interventions to support the response strategy. The administration of the fund has been managed by the Office of Police, Fire and Crime Commissioning. NYC provide secretariat	n/a	No budget.	Update provided in the CSP 6 monthly update to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Odette Robson	L	L	L	L	L	L	
North Yorkshire Domestic Abuse Local Partnership	LE	1	Leads and delivers on multi-agency Domestic Abuse Strategy. Includes statutory duties from the Domestic Abuse Act 2021		Following strategic needs assessments, development and implementation of Domestic Abuse strategy	4 identified priorities underpinned by 32 multi-agency commitments. Priority 1. Prevention and early identification Priority 2. Authentic and inclusive support for all Priority 3. Drive change together 4. Accountability	No	Independently chaired, a wide range of statutory and non-statutory partners, locally and regionally. NYC provides secretariat. Senior Development Officer (domestic abuse) leads on delivery. Reports directly to North Yorkshire Community Safety Partnership.	No specific budget for the partnership. Extensive commissioning arrangements of services with the Office of Police, Fire and Crime Commissioning and City of York Council. NYC core funding is used and grant funding from MHCLG. The partnership has oversight and scrutiny of commissioning arrangements	n/a	The partnerships does not have a budget	Update provided in the CSP 6 monthly update to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Odette Robson	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A
Inclusive Communities-North Yorkshire and York	LE	2	Multi-agency group of partners who develop and implement the agreed hate crime strategy for North Yorkshire and York		Development of a multi-agency hate crime strategy. Including the undertaking of community engagement events and hate crime awareness week.	In light of national focus it has been identified for this group to develop a wider strategy which will support community cohesion across North Yorkshire and York	No	Chaired by NYC (Partnerships Manager), secretariat from NYC. Membership includes relevant partners and agencies, including statutory and non-statutory	No budget	n/a	No budget.	Update provided in the CSP 6 monthly update to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	Odette Robson	L	L	L	L	L	L	

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation					Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result
														L	M	L	M	H		
North Yorkshire Local Resilience Forum (NYLRF)	LE	1	To ensure effective delivery of statutory duties under the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 that need to be developed in a multi-agency environment.	2025	Strong independent peer review, development of a new strategy responded to multi incidents including flooding, industrial actions, severe weather etc.	2025-2030 Strategy aligned with Resilience Action Plan, National Security & Risk Assessment, National Resilience Standards and Resilience Capabilities Programme.	No	Multi-agency partnership to carry out statutory duties as defined by the Civil Contingencies Act. Written governance document. NYC provides the secretariat to the partnership.	MHLCG grant circa 160k, Secretariat £39k (partnership subscriptions) Training & Exercise funding from partners circa £19k.	NYC	£20k towards total cost of £39k for secretariat.	No formal reporting. Secretariat to brief NYC Corporate & Partnerships Overview & Scrutiny Committee.	Matt Robinson	L	M	L	M	H	M	N/A
Community Partnerships	LE	2	To bring together multi-agency partnerships in principal towns and hinterlands to develop local action plans.	Reviewed at Executive - September 2024	Secured SPF funding to support local engagement.	In progress	No	Varied - includes local ward councillors	Varies across partnerships.		NYC agreed contribution of £2000 pa per partnership for 2 years.		Marie-Ann Jackson	Low	Low	Very Low	Low	Low	Low	

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
<b>Community Development</b>																				
North Yorkshire, York and North York Moors Minerals and Waste Plan	Community Dev	1,2	To prepare a review of the Joint Minerals and Waste Plan (A Development Plan document )	This will be undertaken in summer 2026	The Joint Minerals and Waste Plan was originally adopted in 2022- the Partnership has not met since then	The plan is now reaching a time for a review to commence and the scope of that review needs to be worked out and the partnership to be revitalised	no	The membership is the collective officer resource to prepare the JMWP and the governance arrangements remain as per their existing constituent parts.  Not published on the website. New terms of reference to be drawn up and will be made publicly available	prior JMWP was £90K this will need to increase and framework drawn up for attribution of costs	Jointly accountable- NYC have taken (as NYCC) a lead role in the past	TBC as it is a function of the amount of work to review the plan	TBC- and will be undertaken in parallel with the Local Plan due to the new plan-making system: Informal reporting to MWDF member working group and Joint Member Working Group. Executive member / Executive sign off at key project stages, Full Council for adoption.	Rachael Balmer/ Linda McKenzie	L	M	L	M	M	M	This will be undertaken as part of the preparation for the re- instigation of the partnership in summer 2026. A collaborative working agreement prepared for evidence base work on the Local Plan is likely to be used for the JMWP alongside the terms of reference.
North Yorkshire Home Choice	Community Dev	1,2	Allocations Scheme Common policy	Partnership agreement signed 01/06/2024, ISA implemented 12/03/2025 (for review 01/03/2027), NYHC policy implemented 10/04/2025 (updated 09/10/2025 & 12/11/2025, subject to periodic review by the strategic board)	Partnership is over 14 years old and lead has changed from York, to Hambleton to NYC with no impact	Creation of single allocation scheme to incorporate Harrogate	No	NYC Broadacres Housing Beyond Housing Yorkshire Housing  New Partnership Agreement has been drawn up to be signed by Members of the Partnership.	Mainly IT costs	NYC	Not known post LGR	Housing & Leisure Overview and scrutiny committee	Carl Doolan	VL	L	VL	M	M	L	

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result
Scarborough Neighbourhood Board	Community Development	2,3	Oversee the delivery of the Pride in Place programme	25/09/2025	Submission of Regeneration Plan and four year investment plan	Delivery of high value regeneration projects within the Town Centre. Projects are being developed	No	Scarborough Business Partnership, Scarborough and District Civic Society, MP for Scarborough & Whitby, Stephen Joseph Theatre, North Yorkshire Police, Coventry Uni, CaVCA, Arcade, Beyond Housing, North Yorkshire Sport, Scarborough Business Ambassadors, English Heritage, Gallows Close, NHS Trust, Coast Tuition/ Director ConnectEd Scarborough CIC, Scarborough Town Council	£19.5m 75% cap, 25% rev 2025-2035	NYC	None	N/A	Richard Flinton	VL M M L M M		
Whitby Town Deal Board	Community Development	2, 3	Oversee the delivery of the Whitby Town Investment plan, ensure Towns Fund programme is managed and delivered effectively.	Regular ToR reviews, next due in March	Delivery of Eastside Wellbeing Centre, Wayfinding and spital bridge junction . Maritime Hub & Old Town Hall on site	Delivery of high value regeneration projects including Whitby Maritime Hub, Whitby Old Town Hall refurbishment, Swing Bridge pedestrianisation and public realm improvement.	No	NYC, YNYCA, Beyond Housing, Local MP, Business & Community representatives.  <a href="https://www.northyorks.gov.uk/community-and-volunteering/community-projects/scarborough-and-whitby-town-deals/whitby-town-deal-board">https://www.northyorks.gov.uk/community-and-volunteering/community-projects/scarborough-and-whitby-town-deals/whitby-town-deal-board</a>	Income: MHCLG Towns Fund (£17.1m capital) 2021-2026	NYC	Swing Bridge & Public realm £25k,		Richard Flinton	VL L M L L L		
Catterick Garrison	Community Development	3	To coordinate the delivery of the Catterick Garrison Levelling-Up Fund (LUF) Capital Project	Jan-26	Demolition and site preparation	Construction of a new community facility, public realm and park improvements	No	NYC and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) - there is a Collaboration Agreement in place between the two organisations for the development of the site	£20 million LUF f	NYC	£382k	None	Nic Harne	VL L H L H M		

Environment

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
Local Access Forum	Env	1	The Council is required to set up and convene a LAF by Statute (CRoW Act 2000).	2015	Consulted on the CAS Definitive Map prioritisation model.  Reviewed CAS related webpages on Council website  Reviewed Natural England's new Land Management Scheme	Advise on options for Coast to Coast National Trail establishment and complimentary cycling and horse riding routes.  Input into strategy and objectives for next version of North Yorkshire Rights of Way Improvement Plan due to be published in 2027.  Comment on major development planning applications affecting access.  Input into new Local Plan for York and North Yorkshire, LCWIPs and national landscapes management plans.	No	LAF purpose set out in statute. Mix of NYC Councillors, user group, public and landowning representatives.	No budget; NYC Democratic Services provides officer time for secretariat.	NYC	NYC contribute c.£8.5K per annum for secretariat support.	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Ian Kelly	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A
E Crime Project	Env	2, 3	To enable NYC & City of York Council to deliver the National Trading Standards eCrime Team. This constitutes National Co-ordination, Digital Forensics Unit and Disruptions Team (all NYC) and Enforcement Team (CYC).	2017	Multiple prosecutions of large scale fraud and money laundering cases resulting in significant prison sentences.  Disruption of thousands of web sites and social media content relating to £40 million+ in consumer harm.  Recovery of £15 million+ in criminal property.	Continued delivery of a number of high-profile cases delayed due to delays in criminal justice system.	No	NYC and City of York Council.	Projected outturn for 2024/25 is £1,916,236, funded by central government direct grant (£1,606,488 NYC and £309,748 City of York Council).	NYC	None	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to NY Council processes in the usual way.	Mike Andrews	VL	L	M	L	M	M	

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result			
York and North Yorkshire Road Safety Partnership	Env	2, 3	To make travelling in York and North Yorkshire safer, and act in a way that inspires the trust and confidence necessary to make people feel safer too. The role of the partnership is to develop, implement and oversee the strategies to deliver the vision.	2021 terms of reference updated. Further updates to governance arrangements to be considered as part of creation of Mayoral Combined Authority and interest from Deputy Mayor for role in partnership governance.	Continued partnership approach to reducing road safety risk. Recent road safety conference. Long term downward trend in personal injury collisions.	Publication of 2021-2026 strategy document. Development of action plan to reflect reduced resources within the partnership.	No	Lead partners - NYC, North Yorkshire Police, Fire & Rescue, City of York, Highways England, Public Health. Supporting partners - local community safety partnerships and MCA. Written governance document.	officer time only no dedicated budgets	NYC	None	Executive Member portfolio holder on as required basis	Allan McVeigh	M	M	M	M	M	M
Forest of Bowland National Landscape Joint Advisory Committee (Previously Forest of Bowland Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Joint Advisory Committee (JAC))	Env	1, 2, 3	AONBs were established in accordance with the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act of 1949 and the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. The statutory purpose of AONBs is to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of their area. NYC is a relevant authority in legislation. Following a government-led process, all 34 English AONBs were renamed as National Landscapes in November 2023.	2019 Joint Advisory Committee meeting and review including renewal of management plan to 2024.	Delivery of Pendle Hill LP, Delivery of Holme House Fell peat restoration project. Delivery of Bowland Meadow makers project. Commencement of projected 'Farming in Protected Landscapes' programme. Completed ELM test and trials work. Commenced an AONB Nature Recovery Plan,	Delivery of Farming in Protected Landscapes programme until March 2024( FIPL). Integration of FOB Nature Recovery Plan (North Yorkshire element) with North Yorkshire Local Nature Recovery Plan. Engagement in High Nature Value farming in the northern upland AONBs. Farming and Wildlife and sustainable tourism advice. Advice. Delivery of Pendle Hill LP and general Peat Restoration projects	No	Memorandum of understanding - JAC includes one NYC elected member. There is also an Officers Steering Group.  <a href="https://www.forestofbowland.com/Joint-Advisory-Committee">https://www.forestofbowland.com/Joint-Advisory-Committee</a>	£432,034. Sources of funding: Defra AONB & FIPL Grants, local authority contributions	Lancashire County Council	£5,340 a year.	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Shaun Berry	L	L	L	L	L	L

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
Nidderdale National Landscape Joint Advisory Committee (Previously Nidderdale Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Joint Advisory Committee (JAC))	Env	1, 2,3	AONBs were established in accordance with the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act of 1949 and the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. The statutory purpose of AONBs is to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of their area. NYC is a relevant authority in legislation. Following a government-led process, all 34 English AONBs were renamed as National Landscapes in November 2023.	October 2024	Published a NNL Nature Recovery Plan; developing new 2025-2030 Management Plan; delivered year 5 of the Nidderdale Farming in Protected Landscapes Programme, providing over £750k grant funding to nature, climate, people & place projects; delivered wide range of habitat and species conservation projects.	Ensure that relevant authorities, which include statutory undertakers such as water companies and local authorities including NYC, implement the revised duty to seek to further the conservation and enhancement of the National Landscape; oversee delivery of the new NNL Management Plan to provide strategic guidance to the work of the partnership; ensure integration of LNRS and NNL Nature Recovery Plan; continue to convene and deliver a wide range of conservation and access projects, including the Farming in Protected Landscapes programme.	No	Memorandum of understanding - JAC including three NYC elected Members.  <a href="https://nidderdale-nl.org.uk/about-us/joint-advisory-committee/">https://nidderdale-nl.org.uk/about-us/joint-advisory-committee/</a>	The 2025/26 budget is £1.93 million. Income is from a variety of sources including Defra, Natural England and the Woodland Trust.	North Yorkshire Council	In 2025/26 NYC contributed £104,400.	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Shaun Berry (Iain Mann)	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A
Howardian Hills National Landscape Joint Advisory Committee (JAC) (Previously Howardian Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Joint Advisory Committee)	Env	1, 2, 3	AONBs were established in accordance with the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act of 1949 and the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. The statutory purpose of AONBs is to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of their area. NYC is a relevant authority in legislation. Following a government-led process, all 34 English AONBs were renamed as National Landscapes in November 2023.	The new Howardian Hills Management Plan for 2025-2030, including governance process, was developed in 2025 and endorsed by NYC Executive in December 2025.	Continued implementation of the Farming in Protected Landscapes programme. Development of the Howardian Hills Management Plan and Nature Recovery Plan, including stakeholder and public consultations. Delivery of conservation projects and advice to NYC on Planning applications.	The 2023 Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 introduced a revised duty on all 'relevant authorities' to 'seek to further the purpose of designation', i.e. to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of the Howardian Hills National Landscape and this requires integration into NYC decision-making processes and procedures. In line with this duty, we continue to deliver planning advice to NYC on the impact of planning decisions. There is significant negative impact resulting from operation of one manufacturing site and the partnership is working with stakeholders and Planning to attempt to resolve.	No	JAC includes two NYC elected Members. NYC rejected an application to extend this number to 3.  <a href="https://www.howardianhills.org.uk/what-we-do/">https://www.howardianhills.org.uk/what-we-do/</a>	Core Partnership income is sourced from Defra and NYC, with Defra expanding funding in recent years to include the Farming in Protected Landscapes programme, Access for All and additional capital grants. Project funding may also be generated via external funding applications to private or public bodies, e.g. Yorkshire Water, National Lottery.	NYC	2025-26 NYC b	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Shaun Berry (Estelle Hook)	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
North Yorkshire and York Local Nature Partnership (LNP)	Env	2, 3	To drive positive change in North Yorkshire and York's natural environment, taking a strategic view of opportunities linking benefits of environment, people and the economy. LNP strategy provides context for delivery.	2020 Review of key priorities for LNP.	Supported delivery of Living Maps Project (Natural England led), to give comprehensive habitat coverage of North and East Yorkshire; Support for the North Yorkshire Devolution Proposal relating to Natural Capital ask. Delivery of Natural England grant funded Natural Capital Assets Register.	Development and assistance in delivery of local nature recovery strategy and bio diversity net gain policy in accordance with Environment Act. Advice and project management of projects related to conservation and public health featuring the natural environment.	No	Senior officers of key local partners. Terms of Reference Document.  www.nypartnerships.org.uk/lnp	£39,500 a year partnership spend on 2 year 0.5fte fixed term LNP Development Officer	East Riding of Yorkshire Council (for LNP Development Officer post).	No direct contribution on an on-going basis. Provision of office, equipment and management for LNP Development Officer.	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Matt Millington/ Shaun Berry	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A
Yorkshire Derwent Partnership	Env	3,4	To provide environmental improvements for public and bio diversity to Yorkshire Derwent River Catchment in line with government policy and guidance.	2018	Delivery of nature conservation projects related to catchment including natural flood management and enhancement of biodiversity. Key projects include Funding for Derwent Upland Streams with EA, Derwent lowland waders project, INNS control, and Derwent sediment reduction Engagement in Natural Capital asset register work.	Delivery of nature conservation projects related to the catchment including natural flood management. Key project delivery : EA funded Derwent Upland Streams project aimed at improved water quality. Involvement in Living Maps project. INNS control, Involvement in Local Nature Recovery Strategy as stakeholder organisation.	No	Representation from Local Authorities, DEFRA, relevant business and community bodies on Board, Delivery Group and officers steering group. Minuted meetings, terms of reference in place	Project expenditure circa £180,000 funded by external funders such as CABA, Environment Agency, Yorkshire Water.	NYC	No set NYC contribution to core costs however RFCC levy grant held by NYC used for activity.	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Meirion Jones	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
North Yorkshire Flood Risk Partnership	Env	2	To coordinate and lead sub-regional activity aimed at reducing and managing flood risk.	2013	Continued support and north Yorkshire input into the EA FDGIA programme and local levy programme. Key projects recently delivered are Malton, Norton and Old Malton Flood Management Scheme, Filey surface water flood scheme and also York Flood alleviation scheme	Delivery of new 2022 onwards FDGIA programme, delivery of levy projects in North Yorkshire area	No	Member body with reps from Yorkshire RFCC, NYC, City of York Council, Yorkshire Water, Environment Agency, and Internal Drainage Board core members. Written terms of reference - no formal decision making functions.	No budget	n/a	None	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way.	Meirion Jones	L	M	L	L	M	L	
Yorkshire Bathing Water Partnership (YBWP)	Env	2,3	Coordinating policy and actions from multiple partners involved in matters affecting designated Bathing Waters (BWs) across Yorkshire. Main focus has been on coastal BWs but work now extending to inland BWs, including Nidd at Knaresborough)	Governance review undertaken February/ March 2025. As a result of this, a new Board, Strategic Steering Group, Technical Advisory Group (TAG) and working groups have now been established. An Independent Chair also being sought.	Coordination of activity and accessing additional funding	Addressing strategic issues affecting all Bathing Waters - and specific site challenges where intervention required	No.	NYC, ERYC, Environment Agency, Yorkshire Water, other stakeholders as appropriate		N/A			Karl Battersby	L	M	H	M	VH	M/ H	
North Yorkshire Enhanced Partnership	Env	1,2,3	Supporting the development of Bus Services in North Yorkshire	Jan-22	Delivery of BSIP priorities	Delivering enhancements to bus network and infrastructure, bus fare initiatives, multi operator bus ticketing and co-ordinating promotional activity	no	Bus Services Act 2027 & 2025. Strategy is set by the Bus Service Improvement Plan which is responsibility of the Y&NYCA. Members are NYC, CYC, Y&NYCA, external transport providers	DfT grant funding	Currently NYC, likely to become Y&NYCA	From DfT Bus Grant or Local Transport Grant, allocated by Y&NYCA	No routine report to NYC elected member body, any issues arising feeding in to Council processes in the usual way. Responsibility for Public Transport now with the Mayor.	Andrew Clarke	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
<b>HAS</b>																				
Health Protection Assurance Group	HAS	2	Oversees the health protection plans and arrangements and provides assurance to NYC and the Health and Wellbeing Board. The Group is North Yorkshire vehicle to oversee a statutory function (DPH responsibility for assurance on health protection), legislation does not require a group.	Last reviewed TOR October 2025. Membership reviewed regularly.	Updated governance to include quarterly operational meetings, plus end of year report that is taken to Health & Wellbeing Board annually. Improved relationships and joint working between different organisations/directorates, including as part of incident response. Ongoing joint work on a range of areas including screening and immunisations, outbreak management, environmental health protection issues, EPRR	Priority areas set out in HPAG annual report (see p.4-5 for 24/25 priorities and p.20 for 25/26 priorities) - <a href="https://edemocracy.northyorks.gov.uk/documents/s52669/Report%20160525.pdf">https://edemocracy.northyorks.gov.uk/documents/s52669/Report%20160525.pdf</a>  Capacity within each individual organisations to respond and challenge of multi-agency operationalising of outbreak plans.	No	Director of Public Health NYC (Chair), Public Health Consultants, UKHSA, Chief Environmental Health Officer rep, ICB rep. NYC Emergency Planning, NHS England Health Emergency Planning, Director for infection control and prevention from NHS provider trusts, Screening & Immunisations Team (SIL, SIPL)	Existing partner agency resources - joint commissioning of community infection control team (and TB team) with HNY ICB as lead commissioner. No specific resources under direction of (or to support) the Board.	NYC	None	Cllr Michael Harrison (Executive member for Public Health) - as required.	Victoria Turner	L	L	VL	M	M	L	N/A
Involvement forums (NY Learning Disability Partnership Board, NY Disability Forum, Talk Adult Social Care, Older Peoples Network)	HAS	2, 4	Visible public engagement on services and stronger user voice and influence. Made up of representatives of local disability forums, three of which are independently constituted or moving towards independence. NYC contributes towards the funding.	Boards regularly review the work they undertake; board development is on-going.	Established connections with disability user-led orgs, contributed to a number of engagement & coproduction requests, fed in concerns and issues arising during Covid/on behalf of disabled people. NYLDPB: kept in touch via update letters & new fortnightly bulletin; produced activity packs; established new podcasts; took part in variety of engagement & coproduction opportunities; fed in concerns and issues arising during pandemic on behalf of disabled people.	Continue to develop links with disability user-led peer support. Support local disability forums to develop/grow, particularly membership & governance for newly independent forums; peer support/joint working between forums. NYLDPB: Plan for return to face to face meetings. Recruit new independent Chair for NY Health Task Group. Continue implementation of Board's work plan, supporting Live Well Live Longer Learning Disability Strategy.	No	Self advocates, community members, service users and carers, NYC officers, other statutory agency reps. Written governance documents.  <a href="https://www.nypartnerships.org.uk/learningdisabilitypartnershipboard">https://www.nypartnerships.org.uk/learningdisabilitypartnershipboard</a>	Approx. £40,000 from NYC covering forum grants and expenditure, an additional £60,000 will be available for individual speak up grants to community organisations.	NYC but some groups moving towards being independently constituted.	£40k forum grants, £60k community speak up grants	Cllr Michael Harrison (Executive Members) - as required.	Erin Outram	L	M	L	M	M	L	N/A

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result
North Yorkshire Drug and Alcohol Partnership Board	HAS	2- but a national, non-statutory requirement	Promote health and well-being; reduce the harmful effects that drug and alcohol misuse cause to individuals and communities; promote recovery from dependence, and reduce drug and alcohol related crime.	Reviewed 2023/24 as part of new national requirements	Developed and now delivering the multi-agency Substance Use Treatment Plan, in line with national requirements	Oversee investment of substance use funding as part of the ring fenced public health grant Drug market intelligence • Drug and alcohol related deaths confidential enquiry • Implementation of specialist YP contract • Offender and substance misuse pathways	No	Multi-agency including e.g. PH; Police, Probation, NY Sport, LCSB, Horizons, Compass REACH, Liaison and Diversion  Governance – sub group of HWBB  Relationship with LCSB, SAB, SOC Group, NY Community Safety Partnership etc	No funding of its own. Provides strategic direction to resource allocation.	NYCC	c£5m Public Health	Key developments reported to Cllr Michael Harrison. Report to Scrutiny Committees on specific work programmes.	Louise Wallace	L M H M M M	M	Terms of reference agreed and in place for the group-reflecting national requirements. The terms are concise, but adequate for a group which has no independent funding.
Safeguarding Adults Board	HAS	1	To provide strategic leadership for Adult Safeguarding arrangements and to challenge and quality assure partner agencies safeguarding practice.	2024	Oversight and review of SAB procedures and Safeguarding Adult Reviews and their implementation. Leadership of awareness-raising including Safeguarding Week. New national responsibility for rough-sleeping/safeguarding interface	Priorities reviewed with new Independent Chair and agreed with Board Dec 2024: focus on prevention, complexity, rough-sleeping interface (national requirement), awareness-raising.  Organisational priorities and financial constraints impact on partners abilities to support / implement the SAB strategic outcomes / work programme.	No	Strategic Board: NYC, Police, ICBs, District Councils, ICG, Health Trusts, Healthwatch, NHS England, Director of Public Health. Four sub groups involving statutory partners plus District Councils, Probation, ICG, NYF, Fire and Rescue, Health Trusts NHS England, LCSB.  <a href="https://safeguardingadults.co.uk/about-us/">https://safeguardingadults.co.uk/about-us/</a>	Three statutory partners - NYC, Health and Independence Police contribute £20k each towards the cost of running the SAB.	NYC	£35k	Annual Reports to Care and Independence Overview and Scrutiny Committee and Health and Wellbeing Board.	Louise Wallace / Sally Lichfield	L H L H H M	M	Full review and new structure implemented in 2025. Costs reviewed yearly.
Health and Wellbeing (HWB) Board	HAS	1, 2, 3	To oversee the development and implementation of the priorities agreed and set out within the Health and Wellbeing Strategy. To improve the health and care outcomes for the people and communities of North Yorkshire. Statutory responsibilities include assurance of robust JSNA Strategy developed and pharmaceutical needs assessment	2014	The Board has met regularly during this period. It has reviewed its membership and function late 2025 for final proposals to be agreed March 2026.	To approve the new Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy. To oversee developments around the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment. To utilise opportunities from the Council to help improve health outcomes and increase the synergy between the work of partners.  The complexity of accountability resting with individual member organisations and the fact that there can be competing interests.	No	Humber and North Yorkshire ICB, West Yorkshire ICB, representatives from NYC (at Member and officer level), NHS providers, Voluntary and Community Sector, NHS England, NY Healthwatch, GPs, Care Providers, Emergency Services and Healthwatch.  <a href="https://www.nypartnerships.org.uk/edemocracy.northyorks.gov.uk/mgCommitteeDetails.aspx%3FID%3D1213">https://www.nypartnerships.org.uk/edemocracy.northyorks.gov.uk/mgCommitteeDetails.aspx%3FID%3D1213</a>	No funding of its own but it has oversight of the Better Care Fund (BCF) pooled budget and strategic direction for investment against HWB priorities.	Accountability rests with individual organisations for delivery of HWB plans.	None	Health and Wellbeing Board. The Board meets 6 times per year, with a balance of public meetings and development sessions.	Louise Wallace / Patrick Duffy	L M H M H M	M	May 2017. Clear governance arrangements in place. Regular board meetings held and statutory functions are being properly fulfilled. Joint strategy updated and published. No concerns.

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
North Yorkshire Collaborative	HAS	2	Multi-agency partnership, responding to DHSC requirements, responsible for overseeing and leading work across NYC and the NHS and wider partners. Focused on £600m of NYC and NHS services but with formal decision-making vested in NYC and NHS organisations	2025 major review of TOR. Terms of Reference were approved 2025 for: Joint Committee & Sub Committees (including Director's Group)	Improved relationships and joint working between NYC and NHS, particularly around hospital discharge and pressures - this had led to proposal for the Joint Committee, which will oversee prevention and community services.	Intentions set out in Exec report Dec 2024. Work programme developed. Oversee following work programmes and groups: Healthy Ageing, Seasonal Health, new Mental Health/Learning Disability Partnership Board, Healthy Weight Healthy Lives, Health and Care Management Group, Joint Commissioning Group etc	No	Members: NYC officers, ICBs, Voluntary Sector, NHS FTs, Primary Care	None	NYC/HNY ICB	None	No formal reporting as yet but will be reviewed as part of next steps development	Richard Flinton/Richard Webb	L	M	M	L	L	L	N/A
Integrated Services with the NHS: 1) Harrogate and Rural Alliance and 2) Integrated Quality Team	HAS	2	HARA - Oversight of partnerships with NHS underpinned by S75 approval	HARA & IQT being reviewed 2026 as per ICB changes	HARA & IQT	To be reviewed 2026/2027 as part of North Yorkshire Health Collaborative (see above)	No	NYC, HNY ICB and, for HARA, HDFT and Primary Care	Oversight of existing, separate budgets	NYC and NHS	Within existing budgets	No formal reporting but indirectly to Exec Member and Executive	Karen Gullon (HARA)/Chris Watson (IQT)	L	M	M	M	L	M	Arrangements being reviewed 26 / 27
Market Development Board	HAS	2	Engagement between NYC, NHS and care providers to shape market development	To be confirmed	Engagement on major service developments including national and local initiatives to support market development - undertaken separately from formal procurement processes	Works within corporate policy to avoid potential conflicts of interest. Priorities to develop care market.  Accountability is vested in existing organisations.	No	NYC, ICBs, care provider reps	None	NYC	None	No formal reporting but regular updates to Exec Member	Abigail Barron	L	M	M	M	L	M	Developed in line with corporate requirements

Children and Young People's Service

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
Schools Forum	CYPS	1, 2, 3	The schools forum was established to provide schools with greater involvement in the distribution of funding within their local authority and to act as a consultative and advisory body in relation to school funding.	The Constitution of the Schools Forum was last reviewing in January 2026 and recommendations regarding membership were approved by the Forum.	School Funding - budgets agreed for 26/27 including a 0.5% block transfer from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block; High Needs issues considered/consulted as required by statutory guidance: Early Years payments to providers agreed.	School Funding - block transfer issues, de-delegation of funding, exceptional rents, school funding consultation; High Needs funding issues; Early Years funding issues; Central School Services Block funding	No	Membership and governance are determined by the North Yorkshire Schools Forum Constitution which is agreed by the Forum. The Forum is independently chaired by a Forum member (elected as per the Constitution). Officers attend to support the Forum meeting and portfolio Elected Members attend as observers. The DfE have a right to attend as an observer.  <a href="https://cyps.northyorks.gov.uk/nyep-meetings-and-agendas">https://cyps.northyorks.gov.uk/nyep-meetings-and-agendas</a>	The Forum contributes to discussions on £482m Schools Block Funding, £93m High Needs Block funding, £108m Early Years Block funding and £3.5m Central Schools Services Block Funding.	North Yorkshire Council	£50k (from DSG) for the operation of Schools Forum, but contributing to the decision-making and deployment of the whole DSG (£687m)	Portfolio Elected Members are observers to the Forum. Final decisions on some school funding matters (e.g. High Needs Block budget, School Funding Formula) are the responsibility of the LA - informed by Schools Forum voting; some matters are for the Forum only (e.g. de-delegation).	Howard Emmett, Assistant Director, Resource	L	M	L	L	L	L	N/A
York and North Yorkshire Music Hub	CYPS	2,3	To deliver the government's ambition to improving the quality of music services across York and North Yorkshire.	The performance of the Music Hub is monitored by Arts Council England on a termly basis. This was last undertaken for the period September to December 2024 during the autumn term 2024	The overall quality and content of payment condition documents for the revenue grant is good and includes documents (such as the LPME) ahead of schedule. Governance, management arrangements and terms of reference are in place.	Further develop partnerships across the hub geography.	No	North Yorkshire Music Hub York Music Hub	Grant funding Arts Council England is NYC is £727K and a further £256K for York . Other sources of income are through the traded activity of the North Yorkshire Music Service.	Shared accountability to NYC and Arts Council England.	None. The Music Hub is grant funded from Arts Council England. The North Yorkshire music service is fully traded.	No routine report to NYC elected member body. Any issues arising feed in to Council processes in the usual way.	Ian Bangay	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A
North Yorkshire Safeguarding Children Partnership	CYPS	Statutory	The purpose of NYSCP is to support and enable those who work with children, young people, and families to work together to safeguard and promote the welfare of children and young people	The performance of the NYSCP is monitored by the NYSCP Executive. Our Being Young in North Yorkshire strategy and our annual reports are publicly accessible and are reviewed as part of the scrutiny committee of executive members	Implementing learning from audits and reviews in order to develop frontline practice.	Continue to support frontline practice development through learning from serious safeguarding incidents and audits. Our priorities are for children to be happy, healthy, safe and achieving. <a href="https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/NYSCP_BYinNY_2025-28_FINAL_.pdf">https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/NYSCP_BYinNY_2025-28_FINAL_.pdf</a>	No	Working Together to Safeguarding Children legislation sets out our membership and governance arrangements which are available to view in our local Multi-Agency Safeguarding Arrangements  <a href="https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/NYSCP-MASA-Arrangements-Dec-24.pdf">https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/NYSCP-MASA-Arrangements-Dec-24.pdf</a>	Available to view in our annual report <a href="https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/NorthYorkshireSafeguardingChildrenPartnershipNYSCPAnnualReport2024-2025.pdf">https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/NorthYorkshireSafeguardingChildrenPartnershipNYSCPAnnualReport2024-2025.pdf</a>	North Yorkshire Council, ICB and NYP	Available to view in our annual report <a href="https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/NorthYorkshireSafeguardingChildrenPartnershipNYSCPAnnualReport2024-2025.pdf">https://safeguardingchildren.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2025/09/NorthYorkshireSafeguardingChildrenPartnershipNYSCPAnnualReport2024-2025.pdf</a>	Executive Members	Ei Mayhew	L	L	L	L	L	L	N/A

Name of partnership	Lead NYC Directorate	Partnership type: 1. Statutory 2. Instrumental in influencing policy 3. Instrumental in controlling £ and other resources 4. Liaison only	Purpose and role of partnership	Date of last governance review (and if recently, action taken as a result)	Key achievements	Issues and priorities (e.g. decision making, accountability, transparency, key policy areas)	Have there been any governance failures in the past year?  Yes / No If yes, outline	Governance arrangements of partnership and Membership (including links to Terms of Reference)	Annual partnership expenditure and main sources of income	Accountable body	NYC budget contribution (in addition to officer time)	Which NYC elected member body does the partnership report to and how often?	NYC lead officer	Risk factors Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low <b>(Use Appendix A: Partnership Governance risk Assessment to determine level of risk)</b> 1 Probability of governance failure 2 NYC objectives 3 NYC financial 4 NYC services 5 NYC reputation	Overall partnership risk rating  Very High/ High/ Medium/ Low/ Very Low	Legal Services governance review of high and medium risk partnerships - date last undertaken, summary and any action needed as a result				
North Yorkshire Youth	CYPS	1 statutory	To support and develop the capacity of the voluntary and community sector to deliver youth work activity.  To establish, where necessary, a network of volunteers from a range of sources to provide individual support for young people in their local community.  To support and, where necessary, develop the network of new and existing voluntary/community clubs. o provide high quality youth clubs in 15 venues (this may increase/ decrease through negotiation) for one evening per week in term time and ensure regular attendance of 15-30 young people in each club.	contract meetings quarterly with annual review date of last meeting December 2025	delivery of KPI from contract	No issues	no	Commissioned contract with clear specification and KPI, quarterly contract meetings established	£285,000 a year	NYC	£285,000	No routine report to NYC elected member body. Any issues arising feed in to Council processes in the usual way.	Beverley rigg	L	L	L	L	L	Very Low	N/A
North Yorkshire Medical Advice for Adoption & Fostering Panels	CYPS	1 - Statutory	The purpose is to ensure that children and young people in the care of CYPS receive their statutory health assessments, timely clinical oversight, and coordinated health support throughout their care journey. In addition adult health assessments of prospective adopters, foster carers and SGO carers. in line with statutory duties and national guidance.	Reviewed at Finance Board January 26 and contract meeting January 2026.	Medicals are being completed in a timely way with good working relations with health partners. This allows us to meet our statutory duties	KPI's to be updated in new contract which is due to start being negotiated. It is hard to forecast as demand fluctuates.	No	Harrogate & District Foundation Trust	Funded through Adoption & Fostering Budgets	North Yorkshir	£43900	No elected member	Mel Hutchi	L	L	L	L	L	Low	N/A